STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

CHP 453J (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Hollister-Gilroy	Coastal	
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sgt. Dave Hill		1-27-08

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

	1 7				
TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation	Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVI	EW	DATE	
1. PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS		Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a. Do Area personnel unde	erstand the distinction between plar	nning and plans?	111	✓ Yes	□No
b. Does the commander stress the need for planning?			✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Are time and resour	(1) Are time and resources allocated for planning?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the command	(2) Does the commander set the example and provide the staff with planning direction?				
c. Are all supervisors involved in planning?					□No
(1) Are the views of subordinates considered during the planning process?				✓ Yes	□No
2. GENERAL		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a. Are evaluations based o	n results obtained?			✓ Yes	□No
b. Do plans in the Area accomplish one or more of the premises for planning?				✓ Yes	□No
c. Does the need for Area plans still exist?					□No
(1) Are the benefits of formal plans sufficient to offset the time and expense of their preparation?			✓ Yes	□No	
(2) Are the plans efficie	nt?			✓ Yes	□No
(3) Can they be tested?				✓ Yes	□No
(4) Are they current?	(4) Are they current?		✓ Yes	□No	
(5) Do they work?				✓ Yes	□No
d. Do plans state their purp	d. Do plans state their purpose and objectives clearly?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is authority and resp	onsibility clearly delineated?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do they contain che	cklists to help in their implementation	on?		✓ Yes	□No
3. STRATEGIC PLAN		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a. Is the commander and a	Il levels of supervision familiar with	the Strategic Plan?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are PAO efforts dire	(1) Are PAO efforts directed towards Strategic Plan issues and goals?			✓ Yes	□No
b. Have departmentwide is:	sues been addressed in the Area's	Strategic Plan?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is the mission stater	nent pertinent to the command's pa	articular environment?		✓ Yes	□No
	·				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

PLANNING

CHP 4	453J (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009		
	(a) If not, has a mission statement been developed for the command?	☐Yes	□No
c.	Are there traffic problem(s) in the Area which could be mitigated or resolved by a grant?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have traffic safety proposals been submitted which target the identified problem(s)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Is Area familiar with the Department's grant program as outlined in GO 40.6, Departmental Grants Program?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do objectives represent the "real" issues of the command?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Are time frames realistic for each objective?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are objectives expressed in terms so their importance or significance can be easily understood by subordinate levels?	✓ Yes	□No
e.	How are goals formulated? Based on goals and statistics from prior years and growth rate of Area		
	(1) Are goals relevant to the command?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are goals realistic considering command resources?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do the goals provide for an accurate measurement of performance?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) How are goals actually measured? Monthly and quarterly statistics.		
	(5) How are goals communicated to all levels? Informal meeting, staff meetings, briefings and training days		
	(6) What resources are allocated to goal attainment? Anything area management and staff can provide.		
	(7) How do personnel evaluations reflect a goals orientation? Monthly comments on Strategic Plan goals and	l what the en	mployee has
	accomplished during the month to assist in attaining these goals.		
f.	Are the command's resources accurately identified in its action plans?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Will they meet the needs of the action plan?	✓Yes	□No
	(2) Has a program responsibility been assigned?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Are the action steps logical and properly arranged?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Are time frames realistic?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5) Have specific review periods been established?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Have adjustments to the plan been made when indicated by the review process?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Were adjustments documented?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Have provisions for managing these changes been identified?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are results being attained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) What is the Area record of accomplishment? Area has consistently accomplished the majority of its goals.		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

PLANNING

CHP 453J (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

(a) Are results being communicated to Area personnel?			✓ Yes	□No	
4.	BUDGET PLANNING	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
	a. Does the Area budget request reflect good planning?		,	✓ Yes	□No
-	(1) Are future needs considered?			✓ Yes	□No
-	(2) Is there a suspense file for budget needs?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is input solicited from all employees?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Are budget requests discussed at Area and staff meetings in advance of the submission date to provide input?				□No
5.	SPECIAL PLANNING	Yes EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
	a. Do special enforcement plans include introductory orienta				
	periodic evaluation?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1) Are devices utilized to chart the progress of the progr	ams and serve as remine	ders of their importance?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) If so, what is done, and is the information kept cu	urrent? Field Sergeant to	rack the progress of special	enforcement,	grant and dui
	related programs.				
ŀ	b. Is there a schedule of expected special events?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do file copies of special event plans indicate proper p	olanning?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have plans for recurring events been updated?			✓ Yes	□No
	. Does the command have a Job Action Contingency Plan?	•		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does the plan provide for the most effective response and use of personnel and resources?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Does the plan assure the continuation of critical services, and provide for an orderly transition from normal to emergency operations?				□No
	3) Is the plan current?				□No
	(4) Is the plan practical, usable, and available to those wi	ho may be required to im	plement it?	✓ Yes	□No
6. (OTHER PLANS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
æ	a. Does the Area have unique problem situations that require special plans?				□No
	(1) Are these plans current?			✓ Yes	□No